

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

GARDINER ANDERSON,
Plaintiff,

- against -

OUTHOUSE PR, LLC,
Defendant.

Docket No. 17-cv-6722

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Gardiner Anderson (“Anderson” or “Plaintiff”), by and through his undersigned counsel, as and for his Complaint against Defendant Outhouse PR, LLC (“Outhouse” or “Defendant”) hereby alleges as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. This is an action for copyright infringement under Section 501 of the Copyright Act and for the removal and/or alteration of copyright management information under Section 1202(b) of the Digital Millennium Copyright Act. This action arises out of Defendant’s unauthorized reproduction and public display of a copyrighted photograph of high school volleyball star Anyela Acquino, owned and registered by Anderson, a New York City-based photojournalist. Accordingly, Anderson seeks monetary relief under the Copyright Act of the United States, as amended, 17 U.S.C. § 101 *et seq.*

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. This claim arises under the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. § 101 *et seq.* This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

3. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant resides in and/or is doing business in New York.

4. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).

PARTIES

5. Anderson is a professional photojournalist in the business of licensing his photographs to online and print media for a fee, having a usual place of business at 44 St. Nicholas Avenue, Apt. 3R, Brooklyn, New York 11237.

6. Upon information and belief, Outhouse is a limited liability corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York, with a place of business at 111 Broadway, 11th fl., New York, New York 10006. Upon information and belief, Outhouse is registered with the New York Department of State, Division of Corporations to do business in the State of New York. At all times material hereto, Outhouse has owned and operated a website at the URL: [www. http://www.womenyoushouldknow.net](http://www.womenyoushouldknow.net) (the “Website”).

STATEMENT OF FACTS

A. Background and Plaintiff’s Ownership of the Photograph

7. On April 7, 2016, Anderson photographed high school volleyball star Anyela Aquino (the “Photograph”). A true and correct copy of the Photograph is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

8. Anderson then licensed the Photograph to the New York Daily News. On April 7, 2016, the New York Daily News ran an article that featured the Photograph on its web edition entitled, *EXCLUSIVE: Bronx high school girl barred from playing on boys' volleyball team considers lawsuit*. See <http://www.nydailynews.com/new-york/bronx/bronx-hs-girl-barred-boys-volleyball-team-eyes-suit-article-1.2591793>. Anderson’s name was featured in a gutter credit

identifying him as the photographer of the Photograph. A true and correct copy of the Photograph in the article is attached hereto as Exhibit B.

9. Anderson is the author of the Photograph and has at all times been the sole owner of all right, title and interest in and to the Photograph, including the copyright thereto.

10. The Photograph was registered with the U.S. Copyright Office and was given Copyright Registration Number VA2-010-578.

B. Defendant's Infringing Activities

11. Upon information and belief, on or about April 21, 2016, Outhouse ran an article on the Website entitled *High School Volleyball Player Fights Ruling That She Can't Play On Boys' Team*. See <http://www.womenyoushouldknow.net/high-school-volleyball-player-fights-ruling-that-she-cant-play-on-boys-team/>. The article prominently featured the Photograph. A true and correct copy of the article is attached hereto as Exhibit C.

12. Outhouse did not license the Photograph from Plaintiff for its article, nor did Outhouse have Plaintiff's permission or consent to publish the Photograph on its Website.

13. Upon information and belief, Outhouse removed Anderson's gutter credit and did not attribute the Photograph to anyone.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF
(COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT AGAINST OUTHOUSE)
(17 U.S.C. §§ 106, 501)

14. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in Paragraphs 1-13 above.

15. Outhouse infringed Plaintiff's copyright in the Photograph by reproducing and publicly displaying the Photograph on the Website. Outhouse is not, and has never been, licensed or otherwise authorized to reproduce, publicly display, distribute and/or use the Photograph.

16. The acts of Defendant complained of herein constitute infringement of Plaintiff's copyright and exclusive rights under copyright in violation of Sections 106 and 501 of the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. §§ 106 and 501.

17. Upon information and belief, the foregoing acts of infringement by Outhouse have been willful, intentional, and purposeful, in disregard of and indifference to Plaintiff's rights.

18. As a result of Defendant's infringement of Plaintiff's copyright and exclusive rights under copyright, Plaintiff is entitled to recover his damages and Defendant's profits pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(b) for the infringement.

19. Defendant's conduct, described above, is causing, and unless enjoined and restrained by this Court, will continue to cause Plaintiff irreparable injury that cannot be fully compensated by or measured in money damages. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF
INTEGRITY OF COPYRIGHT MANAGEMENT INFORMATION AGAINST
OUTHOUSE
(17 U.S.C. § 1202)

20. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in Paragraphs 1-19 above.

21. When the Photograph was published in an article in the New York Daily News, the article contained a gutter credit with Plaintiff name which is considered copyright management information under 17 U.S.C. § 1202(b).

22. Upon information and belief, Outhouse copied the Photograph from the New York Daily News and pasted it on the Website without bringing along Plaintiff's gutter credit.

23. Upon information and belief, Outhouse intentionally and knowingly removed copyright management information identifying Plaintiff as the photographer of the Photograph.

24. The conduct of Outhouse violates 17 U.S.C. § 1202(b).

25. Upon information and belief, Outhouse's falsification, removal and/or alteration of the aforementioned copyright management information was made without the knowledge or consent of Plaintiff.

26. Upon information and belief, the falsification, alteration and/or removal of said copyright management information was made by Outhouse intentionally, knowingly and with the intent to induce, enable, facilitate, or conceal their infringement of Plaintiff's copyrights in the Photograph. Outhouse also knew, or should have known, that such falsification, alteration and/or removal of said copyright management information would induce, enable, facilitate, or conceal their infringement of Plaintiff's copyrights in the Photograph.

27. As a result of the wrongful conduct of Outhouse as alleged herein, Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Outhouse the damages that s/he sustained and will sustain, and any gains, profits and advantages obtained by Outhouse resulting from its violations of 17 U.S.C. § 1202, including Plaintiff's attorney's fees and costs.

28. Alternatively, Plaintiff may elect to recover from Outhouse statutory damages pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 1203(c)(3) in a sum of at least \$2,500 up to \$25,000 for each violation of 17 U.S.C. § 1202.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests judgment as follows:

1. That Defendant Outhouse be adjudged to have infringed upon Plaintiff's copyrights in the Photograph in violation of 17 U.S.C §§ 106 and 501;

2. That Defendant Outhouse be adjudged to have falsified, removed and/or altered copyright management information in violation of 17 U.S.C. § 1202;
3. That, with regard to the First Claim for Relief, Plaintiff be awarded his actual damages and Defendant's profits, gains or advantages of any kind attributable to Defendant's infringement of Plaintiff's Photograph;
4. That, with regard to the Second Claim for Relief, Plaintiff be awarded either:
 - a) Plaintiff's actual damages and Defendant's profits, gains or advantages of any kind attributable to Defendant's falsification, removal and/or alteration of copyright management information; or b) alternatively, statutory damages of at least \$2,500 and up to \$ 25,000 for each instance of false copyright management information and/or removal or alteration of copyright management information committed by Defendant pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 1203(c);
5. That Defendant be required to account for all profits, income, receipts, or other benefits derived by Defendant as a result of its unlawful conduct;
6. That Plaintiff be awarded his costs, expenses and attorney's fees pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 1203(b);
7. That Plaintiff be awarded pre-judgment interest; and
8. Such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable in accordance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38(b).

Dated: Valley Stream, New York
September 4, 2017

LIEBOWITZ LAW FIRM, PLLC

By: /s/Richard Liebowitz
Richard P. Liebowitz
11 Sunrise Plaza, Suite 305
Valley Stream, New York 11580
Telephone: (516) 233-1660
RL@LiebowitzLawFirm.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Gardiner Anderson